

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)  
Acting United States Attorney

IOANA PETROU (CABN 170834)  
JONATHAN D. SCHMIDT (CABN 230646)  
Assistant United States Attorneys

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-7189  
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234  
[ioana.petrou@usdoj.gov](mailto:ioana.petrou@usdoj.gov)  
[jonathan.schmidt@usdoj.gov](mailto:jonathan.schmidt@usdoj.gov)

SONDRA L. MILLS (DCBN 367463)  
ALLAN GORDUS (MOBN 48210)  
Trial Attorneys

DOJ Office of Consumer Litigation  
Liberty Square Building  
450 5<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Sixth Floor South  
Telephone: (202) 616-2375  
FAX: (202) 514-8742  
[sondra.mills@usdoj.gov](mailto:sondra.mills@usdoj.gov)  
[allan.gordus@usdoj.gov](mailto:allan.gordus@usdoj.gov)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	CR No. 08-0164 MHP
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	STIPULATION AND <del>PROPOSED</del> ORDER
	)	EXCLUDING TIME
v.	)	
	)	
W. SCOTT HARKONEN,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

On April 17, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Honorable Magistrate Judge Joseph C. Spero for defendant W. Scott Harkonen's initial appearance and stipulated that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from April 17, 2008 to May 5, 2008, at which time the parties are scheduled to appear before the Honorable District Court

Judge Marilyn H. Patel. The parties represented that granting the continuance was necessary for effective preparation of counsel given the complexity of the case and nature of the prosecution, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

SO STIPULATED:

BRIAN J. STRETCH  
Acting United States Attorney

DATED: April 17, 2008

/s/  
IOANA PETROU  
Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: April 17, 2008

/s/  
WILLIAM M. GOODMAN  
Attorney for W. Scott Harkonen

As the Court found on April 17, 2008, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from April 17, 2008 to May 12, 2008 for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the complexity of the case and nature of the prosecution, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 18, 2008

